

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,549	01/30/2004	Thomas M. Weeks	ASMEX.397A	5852	
20995 75	590 01/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	ARTENS OLSON &	DHINGRA, RAI	DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IRVINE, CA 92614			1763	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i>)
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/769,549	WEEKS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rakesh K. Dhingra	1763
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	anuarv 2004.	
•	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [

Application/Control Number: 10/769,549

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-40, 62-65, drawn to apparatus (substrate support), classified in class 118, subclass 728.
- II. Claims 41-61, drawn to method (assembly of substrate support), classified in class 29, subclass 25.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and process for its assembly. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process for assembly involves coupling a substrate holding structure to a rotational linkage to prevent slippage during rotation of the substrate holding structure whereas the apparatus does not specify rotation of the substrate holder support and thus could be used to assemble a materially different apparatus. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,549

Art Unit: 1763

Further, if the inventor elects invention of group I (apparatus), this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 (Figures 2A – 2D): A substrate support assembly employing an elbow shaped retaining member, a shaft with indentation for receiving the retaining member and a securing member for securing the retaining member in place.

Species 2 (Figures 4A, 4B): A substrate support assembly employing a flexible retaining member.

Species 3 (Figures 4C, 4D): A substrate support assembly employing a retaining member with part of its length being flexible.

Species 4 (Figures 5A-5D): A substrate support assembly employing a retaining member with ears for securing it in a corner defined by two of the spider arms.

Species 5 (Figures 6A-6D): A substrate support assembly having a spider arm slot that accepts a retaining member having two prongs configured to straddle a wall portion defined by the slot.

Species 6 (Figure 7): A substrate support assembly employing a shaped socket in conjunction with a correspondingly shaped shaft.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the **invention** and the **species** (If invention of Group I is elected) that is elected consonant

with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/769,549

Art Unit: 1763

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rakesh K. Dhingra whose telephone number is (571)-272-5959. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -6:00 (Monday - Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571)-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rakesh Dhingra

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763